UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,530	03/29/2004	Volker Harle	P2001,0678	5329
²⁶¹⁶¹ FISH & RICHA	7590 02/11/200 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022		LUU, CHUONG A		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2892	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/813,530	HARLE ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chuong A. Luu	2892				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Chuong A. Luu</u> .	(3)					
(2) Mr. Mark Wefers.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>05 February 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)⊠ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Shakuda (U.S. 5,838,029).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The participants discussed: Finality of the Rejection (Examiner agrees with applicant's representative position and will remove. The Examiner would like to update search in light of applicant's comments. At first glance, arguments have merit (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Chuong A Luu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 28 Examiner's signature, if requi					